COURSE INFORMATION

Course Description
In this course, we examine institutions of higher education as unique social contexts within which student mental health unfolds. In doing so, we will address mediating and moderating factors, which characterize the unique and varied socio-emotional experiences of students attending post-secondary institutions. As such, we will distinguish and clarify social approaches to studying mental health – focusing on mentorship, funding, social support, academic demands, and healthcare resources – from mental illness as characterized in medical disciplines. Students will be expected to read thoroughly and apply insights from the course to authentic mental health concerns facing institutions of higher education today. Restricted to 4th-year sociology majors and specialists.

Goals and Learning Objectives
• Critically read, analyze, discuss, and develop arguments pertaining to the social approaches for studying mental health at higher education institutions.
• Analyze the intersection of the sociologies of inequality, education, and mental health as experienced by students in higher education institutions.
• Apply the theories, principles, and concepts from the course to authentic mental health concerns facing institutions of higher education today.
• Critically analyze a broad range of research studies on mental health and education, identifying their strengths, limitations, and implications for the field as a whole.

Prerequisite and Exclusion Criteria
The prerequisite for this course is 1.0 SOC FCE at the 300+ level. Students who do not meet this requirement will be removed without notice. Additionally, those who have completed SOC493H1 (New Topics in Sociology: Mental Health and Education), offered in Fall 2018, Winter 2020, and Summer 2020 are ineligible to take this course.

1 The course will be delivered during Eastern Standard Time (EST). Weekly online synchronous classes and assignment deadlines adhere to this time zone.
Please note that this course is restricted to 4th-year sociology majors and specialists. Sociology majors cannot take more than 0.5 SOC FCE at the 400 level and Sociology specialists cannot take more than 1.0 SOC FCE at the 400 level without written permission from the Sociology Undergraduate Program Administrator.

**Course Delivery, Structure, and Organization**
This online synchronous course is delivered through Quercus with mandatory weekly synchronous online classes on Zoom, **Thursdays from 12:00pm-2:00pm EST**.

You will require speakers, microphone, or headphones, video camera, and internet connectivity to participate in weekly synchronous online classes. Please visit this [link](#) for information about the recommended minimum specs for technology to facilitate remote learning and financial support to meet these requirements.

Quercus will serve as the Learning Management System (LMS) to facilitate this online synchronous course. All communication and coursework will be delivered online through Quercus and Zoom. The course page on Quercus contains the syllabus, announcements, posted lectures, readings, assignment guidelines, and grades. Moreover, all course information, documents, assignment information, and electronic copies of handouts will be posted on Quercus. We will use Zoom as a platform for weekly online synchronous classes.

**Quercus – Accessing the Course Page**
Please follow the instructions below to access the SOC463H1F course page on Quercus:
- Go to [https://q.utoronto.ca](https://q.utoronto.ca)
- Use your UTORid and password to log in
- Once you have logged in, you will be in your dashboard
- You should see a course card for each of the courses you are or enrolled in
- Click on the SOC463H1F course card to access the course
- If you do not see your courses listed, try activating your UTORid and/or verifying your UTORid

**Zoom – Accessing and Connecting to Weekly Online Synchronous Classes**
Please follow the instructions below to join weekly online synchronous classes on Zoom:
- Click on the invitation link.
- You will be prompted to download the Zoom plugin.
- Follow the prompts to join the meeting.
- You will be asked to verify if you would like to join the meeting using video from the camera and audio from the microphone on your device.

**Course Structure**
Each week, what you will see posted under the *Modules* section in Quercus is a step-by-step process for learning. The folders and associated subfolders will have the same headings weekly to enable you navigate the materials in a sequential and logical manner.

We will learn together through:
- Course materials
- Online synchronous classes which will take place weekly on Zoom.
• Weekly class discussions will reflect theories, principles, and concepts, and applying them to authentic mental health concerns facing institutions of higher education today.

Each week will contain the following folders and contents in each folder:

(i) WEEK X – Overview of the Week
• Summary of learning outcomes from the previous week.
• Overview and summary of the theme/topic(s) of the current week.
• Learning goals and guidance for the class discussion and/or assignments for current week.
• Intended learning goals for the following week, building from the previous week(s).
• PowerPoint presentations, where necessary, will be posted the day after the synchronous class.

(ii) WEEK X – Reading and/or Viewing Materials
• Assigned course materials (e.g., academic journal articles, book chapters, news articles, multimedia) related to the theme/topic(s) of the week.
• Course materials reveal multiple perspectives about, for example, key theories, perspectives, and concepts regarding the theme/topic(s) covered during the week.

Course Readings
Prior to the start of each synchronous class, please complete the required readings assigned for the week. You are not required to read the supplementary readings; they serve as resources for those interested in reading more deeply about a particular theme/topic(s), and can be used as sources for assignments. On the Quercus course page under each weekly module, supplementary readings (e.g., news articles) and media sources (e.g., documentaries, YouTube videos, TED/TEDx talks) that offer additional content on certain themes or topics.

My Approach to Teaching and Learning and Responsibilities of SOC463H1F Class Members
My role as instructor is to act as a guide and facilitator for your learning experience this term in relation to the subject area.

We will each contribute to a conducive and respectful learning atmosphere by:
• Reading and engaging with the assigned weekly materials and being fully prepared to discuss them with peers.
• Sharing analyses, critiques, thoughts, and observations in a respectful manner.
• Maintaining confidentiality. Sensitive information that is contributed is to be respected as confidential.
• Helping others learn and accepting assistance from others in our own learning.

We must always conduct ourselves in a collegial and respectful manner in all teaching and learning environments, which includes online formats. Please note that language or behaviour that is combative, abusive, or offensive will not be accepted.
EVALUATION CRITERIA

Following are the course deliverables and their relative weights for the final grade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COURSE DELIVERABLES</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>DUE DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical Reading Responses</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Wednesdays by 5:00pm EST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Analytical Memo and Discussion Facilitation</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Week of group facilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of Mental Health Program/Initiative at HEI</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>October 18th by 11:59pm EST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Presentation of Final Assignment Outline</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Online class Nov 26th or Dec 3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Assignment Paper</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>December 10th by 11:59pm EST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Ongoing throughout term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I. Critical Reading Responses (25%)

Students are to submit 6 critical reading responses throughout the term, between WEEK 2 and WEEK 12. Each critical reading response should be 600 words excluding references and provide a very brief summary of the central ideas or concepts from the readings. Please move beyond descriptive accounts to analytical accounts.

Your critical response should answer at least some of the questions below:
- What do you perceive to be important insights or ideas from the assigned readings?
- How do the readings relate to each other?
  - Do they raise similar points? Do they disagree with each other?
  - Do they provide different or new perspectives?
- What are your critical reactions to the readings?
  - What are their strengths? What are their weaknesses?
  - What issues did you find particularly interesting?
- What questions do the readings raise?
- What would you like to discuss in class?

Please upload your critical responses to Quercus no later than Wednesday 5:00pm EST (the day before class). The purpose of these critical responses is to prepare you for an in-class discussion, therefore late assignments will not be accepted. Your responses should reflect the readings assigned for the week, demonstrate your thoughts about them, and raise discussion points for the class discussion the following day, on Thursday.

Please note that you cannot submit a critical reading response for the week that your group will facilitate a class discussion (see next section).

II. Group Analytical Memo and Facilitation of Class Discussion (20%)

Each student will be assigned to a discussion facilitation group for one of the 5 weeks (WEEK 5 to 9). For each weekly topic, depending on course enrollment, 3 students will be assigned to a group.

Analytical Memo

This is a group assignment. Therefore, each member of the group must work together to write the group analytical memo, which should be no more than 750 words excluding references on the readings for the week in which they lead a class discussion. The analytical memo is a brief essay that has a similar
format to an abbreviated research paper (i.e., statement of research question and problem, answer(s) to the question, conclusion, and discussion).

The group analytical memo is due after your assigned class discussion and facilitation, by the end of the week on **Sunday at 11:59pm EST**. One member of the group should upload the analytical memo onto Quercus.

**Facilitation of Class Discussion**

Students who are assigned to lead class discussion will begin the class with a brief presentation and then followed by a class discussion. This will take place during the first hour of the class. All students in the class are required to read the assigned readings for each week and to prepared to discuss them with their peers. In the second half of the class, I will provide a brief lecture or to summarize key ideas and new insights on the theme/topic(s).

**III. Analysis of Mental Health Program/Initiative at Higher Education Institutions (15%)**

Drawing on the readings from WEEK 2 to WEEK 6, students will be required to apply the material into their analysis of a mental health program/initiative or service at the University of Toronto or another higher education institution of your choice, and its associated relationship with the socio-emotional experiences and mental health issues of students.

The analysis should be **1500 words excluding references** and should focus on a program/initiative or that has been offered at the University of Toronto or another higher education institution of your choice since the beginning of the COVID-19 lockdown restrictions in March. The final assignment is due by **Sunday, October 18th at 11:59pm EST**. More details about this assignment (i.e., questions, structure, format) will be provided during the first synchronous class.

**IV. Final Assignment (Oral Presentation of Outline and Final Paper)**

Your final assignment will comprise two parts, a brief class presentation of your outline for the final assignment (5%) and a final paper (20%).

**Oral Presentation of Final Assignment Outline (5%)**

During WEEK 11 or WEEK 12 students will deliver a **10-minute presentation** on the outline of their final paper, followed by a short Q&A discussion with peers.

The oral presentation of your final assignment outline provides you the opportunity to introduce to your peers your ideas related to the case/issue(s) that you intend to examine. Furthermore, it will give you a chance to receive feedback and comments from your peers which may assist in the development of the final paper.

In the outline, students should identify a case/issue(s) pertaining to the relationship between mental health and higher education institutions, which they are interested in examining.

- Describe what the case/issue(s) is.
- Among the theme(s) presented between WEEK 4 and WEEK 9, which theme(s) does the case/issue(s) represent?
- What is the case/issue(s) to be analyzed?
- Who are the population(s) implicated by such issue(s)?
• Who are the institutional actors/stakeholders involved? (if applicable)
• How should the identified case/issue(s) be analyzed?
  • Drawing from frameworks/lens that have been introduced throughout the course regarding social approaches to studying mental health, which will be applied?
  • Why is your chosen approach best suited for analyzing the identified case/issue(s)?

**Final Assignment Paper (20%)**
In the final paper, students should address the following:
• Investigate the broader context of mental health and education.
• What are the conditions that have created specific forms of inequality related to the case/issue(s) that is being analyzed?
• What is being done to bring about change? (if applicable)
• What should be done to bring about change? Why and how?
• How does the case/issue(s) you have examined deepen our understanding about mental health and higher education institutions?

The final assignment will be essay format and should build on or emphasize a connection with your first assignment, *Analysis of HEI Mental Health Program/Initiative*. Students will be required to draw on materials from throughout the course. The final assignment should be 2500 words excluding references. The final assignment is due by Thursday, December 10th at 11:59pm EST. More details about this assignment (i.e., questions, structure, format) will be provided in class.

**V. Participation (15%)**
Class attendance is mandatory. Proper documentation is required to justify an absence. Since this is a seminar course, students are expected to attend class each week and participate in class discussions. If you are unable to attend due to illness or serious personal reasons, you must provide verification of illness and/or discuss with me in advance.

Your participation grade will be based on two equally weighted components: attendance and active participation in class discussions.
COURSE SCHEDULE AND READINGS

Every attempt will be made to follow this course schedule; however, it is subject to change at the discretion of the instructor. Any adjustments to topics or readings will be announced on Quercus.

Prior to the start of each synchronous class, please complete only the **required readings** assigned for the week. Supplementary readings serve as resources for further reference on each theme or topic.

All the readings below can be downloaded from a shared folder which is uploaded to the Quercus course page entitled, SOC463H1F Fall 2020 Library. The shared folder is organized according to weekly themes as follows:

**WEEK 1**

**SEPTEMBER 10**

*Introduction to Mental Health and Education*

During our first synchronous class, I will provide an overview of the main themes of the course. I will also review the course policies, course deliverables (i.e., assignments, participation), and my expectations for your success in the course. Please read the course outline thoroughly.

We will begin by examining how society shapes what is considered to be mental illness. Many assume that the nature, causes, and cures of mental illness are aspects of individuals. However, these individualistic conceptions are rooted in belief systems as well as in mental health professions which define, study, and treat mental illness. Sociologists therefore challenge these entrenched beliefs and models by studying mental health beyond the medical model and consider alternative perspectives, including the social construction of mental illness.

**WEEK 2**

**SEPTEMBER 17**

*Understanding the Socio-Emotional Experiences of Students in Higher Education Institutions: From a Mental Illness Approach to a Social Approach*

The sociological study of mental health is both distinct from and complementary to individualistic psychological and biological approaches to these topics. First, we will briefly review mental illness as characterized in medical disciplines. We will then review the sociological approaches for examining mental health as aspects of social circumstances, which involve social conditions as well as social and cultural influences. These shape the definitions of and responses to mental health issues. We will also review four sociological styles of thinking about mental health, including the *etiological style*, *social psychology approach*, *social response model*, and *social constructionist approach*.

**Required Readings**


Supplementary Readings


WEEK 3
SEPTEMBER 24

Meritocracy, Social Inequality, and Mental Health in Higher Education Institutions: The Impact of Economic, Social, and Cultural Capital

Despite the meritocratic rationale in education systems, scholars have argued that it is largely associated with the reproduction of social inequalities. We will examine the intersection of the sociologies of inequality, education, and mental health as experienced by students in higher education institutions. Moreover, we will analyze the impact of economic, social, and cultural capital on the social inequality in health. Although participation in educational opportunities has increased, it has also created a context for elevated rates of mental health issues to emerge. For instance, systems of stratification have contributed to mental health disparities. We will therefore examine the processes that produce these inequalities, which includes the distribution of life conditions (e.g., social capital, economic resources, power) and social evaluation processes (e.g., accomplishments).

Required Readings


Supplementary Readings


WEEK 4
OCTOBER 1

**Psychosocial Adjustment, Retention, Academic Success, and Inclusive Environments: Exploring Gender, Race, and Cross-Cultural Differences**

Psychosocial adjustment and student determination have received relatively less attention than academic and social integration in the literature regarding mental health and education. We will examine how racial/ethnic minority students face unique challenges to psychosocial adjustment in higher education institutions as a result of, for example, prejudice, discrimination, and unwelcoming campus environments. Moreover, we will examine how international students experience a range of economic, social, and academic pressures which are different in degree from those experienced by domestic students. Scholars have claimed that in extreme cases, these factors may trigger and/or exacerbate the mental health issues of students. It is therefore also crucial to understand whether culturally appropriate counselling resources and strategies exist which can foster inclusive policies, resources, and campus environments that empower students and maximize their success.

**Required Readings**


Supplementary Readings


WEEK 5
OCTOBER 8

Internalized Individual-Level Stigma, Discrimination, and Barriers: Effects on Disclosure of Mental Health and Self-Seeking Support

Scholars have argued that traditional barriers, such as stigma, can only partially explain the high prevalence of untreated mental health disorders of students and their access to appropriate supports. At the micro-level, although self-stigma has been characterized as one of the many barriers to accessing support, other factors interact with help-seeking, including disclosure, educational impact, previous diagnosis, suspected diagnosis, and mental health symptoms. Moreover, fear of discrimination in academic studies and in professional employment lead students to non-disclosure of mental health issues to staff at higher education institutions. We will examine stigma and their effects on the ways in which students gain access to appropriate support. Furthermore, we will explore a range of measures which seek to address the impact of stigma and mental health, and to empower students to disclose in confidence with staff, stakeholders, and peers.

Required Readings

Institutional-Level Stigma and their Perpetuation of Social Inequality: Effects on Mental Health Symptoms and Socio-Emotional Experiences

The conceptualization of mental health stigma as an individual-level generalization has been challenged by several scholars. We will examine the operation of mental health stigma at the meso-level and contextualize its emergence in higher education intuitions, its effects on the socio-emotional experiences of students, and its perpetuation of social inequality. Scholars have noted that institutional-level stigma has been associated with screening for mental health issues, counselling access and visits, medication use, and informal support. We will thus also examine the environment in which such stigma manifests and explore ways in how change could be implemented to reduce it at this level. Two strands of sociological theory will be explored to understand the causal processes related to the production of social inequalities and mental health disparities at higher education institutions, including the social stratification model and the relational processes model, which includes social closure (i.e., credentialism and unequal control over economic resources) and social class.

Required Readings


Social support and mental health education in higher education institutions need to be continuously strengthened and improved. Developing these domains are predicted to promote positive attitudes toward the mental health help-seeking of students. Moreover, providing mental health education to those who are at risk for mental health illness, as well as to others who are likely to influence help-seeking attitudes of those with mental health issues, is crucial. According to scholars, given the high rates of students who seek support from staff at higher education institutions regarding mental health issues, there is a critical need to examine whether such personnel are equipped with knowledge on how to respond to such issues and to provide appropriate support within a higher education context. We will therefore examine how attitudes to and knowledge about mental health issue influence the socio-emotional experiences of students regarding their access to assistance and support.

**Required Readings**


Supplementary Readings


WEEK 8
OCTOBER 29

Institutional Social Support and Crisis Intervention: Social Structural Causes of Emotional Consequences and Mental Health

Students experience increased levels of stress while completing postsecondary education. As such, there is a need to ensure that they receive support throughout their studies to successfully complete their program, and transition from university into the workforce. We will examine institutional approaches in combination with specialized supports which seek to meet the needs of students with mental health issues. Furthermore, we will examine the role that health and wellness services (e.g., counselling) have played in responding to student needs and their expansion within the broader influences of the mental health field, federal government policy on education, and the higher education environment. For example, their shift from providing academic guidance to health promotion and crisis intervention will be examined. Importantly, this will be explored particularly in the context of how students interact with entities at higher education institutions and the impact on their mental health and quality of life.

Required Readings


**Supplementary Readings**


---

**WEEK 9**  
**NOVEMBER 5**

**Community-Led Initiatives and Peer Support Mental Health Services: Towards the Normalization of Experiences and Belonging**

Scholars have noted that while various populations of students report public stigma as a barrier to help-seeking for mental health support, undergraduate students are most likely to seek help for mental health issues from peers. According to the literature, three areas that are perceived to promote mental health include perceptions of the environment related to community learning, experiences of students on campus, and community engagement. We will examine the effects of peer support services and the extent to which they could foster the normalization of mental health experiences and promote belonging. Additionally, we will examine the individual (e.g., coping abilities), interpersonal (e.g., intergroup awareness) and institutional (e.g., campus climate/tension) level influences on the mental health support for students.

**Required Readings**


**Supplementary Readings**


While concerns about the mental health of students has been largely examined, literature that addresses variations across the diversity of higher education institutions regarding these issues is limited. We will examine the effects of globalization, from production based to a knowledge-based economy which has drastically shifted the role and value of higher education. For instance, throughout the years, research universities have been restructured and transformed, specifically in the areas of research and innovation, teaching and learning, and industry and community partnerships. As such, while the reorganization of higher education institutions is likely to affect institutions, it also has potential consequences on the mental wellbeing of students. We will therefore examine institutional characteristics of educational institutions and their effects on students. That is, we will explore how the transformation of higher education institutions affect the mental health of those who are exposed to such changing environments.
WEEK 11
NOVEMBER 26
Embedded Services, Alternative Supports, and Institutional Funding:
Integrated Model of Services for the Mental Health of Diverse Student Populations

Changing policies to expand the participation of students in higher education and their effects on increased tuition fees have created new challenges for students and counselling services. That is, surges in student enrollment, in conjunction with reduction in funding, has led to an increase in staff-to-student ratios and a decrease in the amount of available time to support students. This is particularly important in higher education intuitions where the reduction of government funding has led to, for example, closures of student counselling services. Although traditional interventions have focused on the individual level, it is also crucial to examine the changing dynamics of the higher education environment and its influence on the learning experience and mental health of students. We will examine alternative mental health supports that are provided in addition to traditional services, including self-help and mobile apps, and analyze their relationship to the diverse needs of students.

Required Readings
Broglia, Emma, Abigail Millings and Michael Barkham. 2018. "Challenges to Addressing Student Mental Health in Embedded Counselling Services: A Survey of UK Higher and Further Education


**Supplementary Readings**


**WEEK 12
DECEMBER 3**

**Re-Examining Mental Health Policies and Practices in Higher Education Institutions: Collaboration Between Students, Educators, and Administrators**

Scholars have argued that increasing numbers of students with mental health issues in higher education institutions has developed into a policy concern. However, research that examines the perspectives of students regarding stressors in higher education environments, and the necessary institutional response that is required to better support student mental health is limited. Several have noted that the process of taking into consideration the perspectives and suggestions of students would promote their sense of inclusion and empowerment at these institutions. Improving the mental health of students could potentially be achieved through an effective partnership between students and institutional actors. We will examine existing mental health policies and practices in higher education institutions and explore the ways in which university educators and administrators can better support student wellbeing and prevent high rates of psychological distress.

**Required Readings**


**Supplementary Readings**


**COURSE POLICIES AND RESOURCES**

**Communication**

I respond to e-mail correspondence within one to two business days (except weekends and holidays). Students should bear this in mind if last-minute questions or issues arise, especially before any assignment deadlines. In the subject line of your email, please kindly include the course code SOC463. Adhering to policy at the University of Toronto, please ensure that all correspondence is sent from a University of Toronto email address.

If you have any questions regarding illness, special accommodations, missed assignments, deadline extensions, grading inquiries, or any other matters you would like to discuss privately, please email me. Please note that emails that contain questions that are answered in the course syllabus will not receive a response. Please always ensure you carefully read through the syllabus first.

Please set your notifications on Quercus to receive announcements. I will send out announcements through Quercus rather than delivering individual e-mails. You will receive a notice from me via the *Announcements* section on Quercus. Please check your University of Toronto e-mail address regularly for official communication from the Faculty of Arts and Science (or your associated faculty) and your instructor(s).

**Office Hours**

My office hours are by appointment. If you wish to meet with me, please kindly send me an e-mail and we will schedule an appointment. I could meet with you via your preferred method of communication (e.g., Zoom, MS Teams, Skype).

Please note that for simple and concise questions, email is the preferred method of communication. However, for more in-depth questions, students should book office hours and/or schedule an appointment to meet with me.
Best Practices for Online Participation

There are a few guidelines and expectations for engagement in synchronous environments:

- Be respectful to the speakers and your peers.
- Mute your microphones unless you are speaking to reduce background noise.
- Use the ‘raise your hand’ feature and wait to be called upon before you speak.
- Recording online discussions is strictly forbidden without the instructor’s permission.

Missed Sessions, Deadline Extensions, and Late Penalties

Students who are unable to attend synchronous classes are responsible for obtaining notes on all material covered, as well as information regarding administrative announcements. Video recordings will be made available on Quercus by your instructor for those who are unable to attend these sessions.

Electronic copies of assignments are due on Quercus by the designated time on the scheduled due dates unless otherwise stated. Please note that I will NOT accept electronic copies of assignments via email.

Late assignments are penalized at a rate of 5% per day (24-hr period, including weekends and holidays). After 10 days, the late assignment will no longer be accepted. Exceptions for late penalties will only be considered in cases that align with declared absences or accessibility accommodations.

The process for requesting deadline extensions are as follows:

- Students must use the Absence Declaration tool on ACORN to formally declare an absence from academic participation on the day of the assignment submission.
- Students must also inform the instructor in writing within 3 days of the missed assignment.
- Students eligible to get an extension will be informed by email.
- For extensions on the basis of accessibility accommodations, students should contact the instructor as soon as possible.

Grade Appeals

Instructors (and teaching assistants) take the marking of assignments very seriously and will work diligently to be fair, consistent, and accurate. Nonetheless, mistakes and oversights occasionally happen. In the case of a mathematical error, please simply alert me of the error.

For more substantive appeals you must adhere to the following policies. To appeal a grade and have your work re-assessed, you must provide written justification to me explaining the basis for this reconsideration and, where relevant, attach your original assignment with comments. You should specifically address how you believe your assignment better met the criteria from the assignment guidelines while also considering the individual comments or community feedback.

To start this re-assessment process, students must wait 24 hours following the return of the grades and comments—any grade appeals sent within this timeframe will not be considered. Note, that as per FAS policy, once your work has been re-assessed, the grade can go up, down, or remain the same based on this second evaluation. Subsequent appeals will go to the course instructor. Furthermore, no requests for grade appeals will be granted after two weeks following the return of the assignment’s grade and feedback.
Academic Integrity
Academic integrity is fundamental to learning and scholarship at the University of Toronto. Participating honestly, respectfully, responsibly, and fairly in this academic community ensures that the University of Toronto degree that you earn will be valued as a true indication of your individual academic achievement and will continue to receive the respect and recognition it deserves.

Familiarize yourself with the University of Toronto’s Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters (link). To avoid issues related to plagiarism, please see the advice on documentation format and methods of integrating sources (link).

Students agree that by taking this course, submitted works may be subjected to processing through Turnitin for a review of textual similarity and detection of possible plagiarism. In doing so, students will allow their essays to be included as source documents in the Turnitin reference database, where they will be used solely for the purpose of detecting plagiarism. The terms that apply to the University's use of the Turnitin service are described on the Turnitin.com website.

Assignments not submitted through Turnitin will receive a grade of zero (0%) unless a student instead provides, along with their paper, sufficient secondary material (e.g. reading notes, outlines of paper, rough drafts of the final draft, etc.) to establish that the paper they submit is truly their own. The alternative (not submitting via Turnitin) is in place because, strictly speaking, using Turnitin is voluntary for students at the University of Toronto.

Accessibility
The University of Toronto is committed to accessibility. If you require accommodations or have any accessibility concerns, contact your instructor as soon as possible to discuss how best to assist you in the course. Furthermore, if you are registered with Accessibility Services, you will need to supply the appropriate documentation, or your counselor will need send an email message on your behalf. You can visit this link for more information.

Writing Support
Students are encouraged to make use of the available writing support at the University of Toronto. All seven writing centres will be operating during this session, and all will be offering remote instruction. The modality may differ by college. Students should visit each individual centre's site for information on how to make an appointment. There are also more than 60 advice files on all aspects of academic writing available from this link. Furthermore, students can take advantage of the offerings through the English Language Learning (ELL) Program.